

**REMARKS**

Upon entry of the foregoing amendments, claims 1-12, 15-16 and 25-32 will be pending in the application.

**Status of Claims:**

Claims 1 and 9 have been amended. Claims 13, 14 and 17-24 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

**Claim Objections:**

In the Office Action, claim 1 was objected to because "a plurality of chip electrodes positioned on said chip section" was recited twice. By way of this amendment and reply, one of those two identical recitations has been deleted from claim 1, thereby overcoming the objection to that claim.

In the Office Action, claim 9 was objected to because it does not further limit claim 1. Claim 9 has been amended to depend from claim 4, thereby overcoming this objection.

Claims 13 and 14 were objected to because they do not further limit claims 1 and 3, and claims 21-24 were objected to because they do not further limit claims 1-4. These claims have been canceled to thereby overcome these objections. Please note that, with regard to claims 21-24, Applicants believe that these claims do further limit the claims from which they depend, and Applicants are canceling these claims at present in order to obtain a quick allowance of this reissue application. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute these claims in a continuation reissue application, if desired.

**Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. Section 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph:**

In the Office Action, claims 17-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, for the reasons set forth on page 3 of the Office Action. In reply,

Applicants believe that these claims are not indefinite, and are canceling these claims at present in order to obtain a quick allowance of this reissue application. Applicants reserve the right to prosecute these claims in a continuation reissue application, if desired.

**Conclusion:**

Since all of the issues raised in the Office Action have been addressed in this Amendment and Reply, Applicants believe that this application is in condition for allowance, and an early indication of allowance is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would advance prosecution of the application, however, he or she is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,

Date April 28, 2005

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500  
Customer No. 22428  
Washington, D.C. 20007-5109  
Telephone: (202) 672-5403  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

By Phillip J. Arturilo *Ref. No.*  
38,819

*for* John J. Feldhaus  
Registration No. 28,822